BEFORE DR. M.S. SOOD, IAS; JOINT FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER JAMMU AND KASHMIR AT JAMMU.

File No: 249/JFC/AP

Date of Institution: 10.04.2006

Date of Decision: 24.06.2014

In the case of: Bashir Ahmad S/O Khan Mir Gujjar R/O Narsoon Tehsil and District Udhampur.

(Petitioner)

VERSUS

State through Tehsildar Udhampur.

(Respondents)

(Respondents)

In the matter of: Reference dated 22.03.2006 made by Id.

Reference dated 22.03.2006 m

Appearing Counsels: 1) Mr. Ashok Gupta & Associates, for Petitioner.

2) ARA for State.

ORDER

Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur who by virtue of his order dated period of Tensida 11.03.2005 has upheld the order of Tensidar, Udhampur for eviction of the petition from the State land measuring 1 ½ Marlas in possession of the petitioner over which he has constructed a residential house and a subject of the lid. Settlement Commissioner has the lid. Settlement Commissioner has the lid. Settlement the matter made a reference with the recommendation that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Udhampur be set that the order of Id. Addl. Deputy Commissioner.

2) After receiving the reference, the parties were summoned to appear in the court. Petitioner was represented by a counsel while

Page 1 of 2

Asstt. Revenue Attorney appeared on behalf of the State. However, inspite of causing presence, both sides did not participate in substantive proceedings of the case. It was, therefore, resolved that case be decided on its merits.

- 3) I have gone through the record on the file and have perused the order under reference. The land in question is admittedly a State land and the possession of the petitioner over the said land is unauthorized and the revenue agencies are duty bound to safeguard the State interests and remove all encroachments made on State land by any person whosoever. However, there is a set procedure under Land earner 133 Clause 2 Sub-clause (c) which has to be adhered to while removing the encroachments. Two important points are to be taken care of, one being due notice to the incention of the said encroacher regarding the intention of the revenue officer and other being providing the encroacher who has raised any structure of the hange 10 Marlas of land, an option to exchange 10 Marlas of land brought under and situated in structures with self owned land situated in the area. Both provisions dad Add Conhave not been adhered to and Id. Addl. Commissioner without looking into these aspects and without taking the legal position into account rder duly conhast passed the impugned order duly confirming the action of the ese orders are Tehsildar, Udhampur, Both these orders are, therefore, liable to be set aside.
- Torders of Addl. Deputy Commissioner and Tehsildar, Udhampur are set die Tehsildar, aside. The matter is remanded to Tehsildar, Udhampur who shall abide by the relevant provisions of J&K Land Revenue Act, 1939 AD and pass the appropriate orders in the matter after providing opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and in case the petitioner has no self owned land, the land in question be allotted to him under relevant provisions of law for being landless. Interim order, if any, passed is a returned ovacated. Subordinate court file is returned back and original file of this and the court consigned to records after due completion.

Announced: 24.06.2014

(Dr. M.S. Sood)IAS
Joint Financial Commissioner

Page 2 7 2